



### First proton-lead results from ALICE

#### Constantin Loizides (LBNL/EMMI) on behalf of the ALICE collaboration

06 June 2013



# Motivation

- Study high-density QCD in saturation region
  - Saturation scale (Q<sub>s</sub>) enhanced in nucleus ( $Q_s^2 \sim A^{1/3\lambda}$ )
  - In perturbative regime at the LHC:  $Q_s^2 \sim 2-3 \text{ GeV}^2$
  - Qualitatively expect  $x \sim 10^{-4}$  at  $\eta = 0$  (vs 0.01 at RHIC)
- Study pA as a benchmark for AA
  - Disentangle initial from final state effects
  - Characterize nuclear PDFs at small-x
- Expect surprises
  - pA contains elements of both: pp and AA
- Other physics opportunities
  - Diffraction
  - Photo-nuclear excitation

Motivations summarized in JPG 39 (2012) 015010



#### The ALICE detector



## **Event multiplicity classes**

- Correlation between collision geometry and multiplicity not as strong as in AA
- System also exhibits features of biased pp (NN) collisions in the multiplicity tails
- Complicates precise extraction of Glauber related quantities
  - Use minbias instead  $(\sigma_{pA} = A \sigma_{pp})$
- Define event classes by slicing various multiplicity related distributions
  - Every experiment uses its own selection and usually provides (corrected) multiplicity at mid-rapidity
    - Forward multiplicity/energy on Pb side
  - Event class definition may matter for particular measurements
  - Systematics using different selections





## ALICE pPb results

#### ALICE, PRL 110 (2013) 032301





#### **ALICE** preliminary e<sup>d</sup> 1.4 p-Pb $\sqrt{s_{max}}$ = 5.02 TeV, inclusive J/ $\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ , p >0 ALICE 0.8 0.6 0.4 EPS09 NLO (Vogt, arXiv:1301.3395 and priv.comm.) CGC (Fuili et al. arXiv:1304.2221) 02 ELoss with q =0.075 GeV<sup>2</sup>/fm (Arleo et al., arXiv:1212.0434) - EPS09 NLO + ELoss with q\_=0.055 GeV<sup>2</sup>/fm (Arleo et al., arXiv:1212.0434) -2 -1 0 2 3

ALICE, PLB 719 (2013) 29



ALICE preliminary

ALI-DER-48480



## Charged particle pseudorapidity density 6

- Tracklet based analysis
  - Dominant systematic uncertainty from NSD normalization of 3.1%
- Reach of SPD extended to |η|<2 by extending the z-vertex range
- Results in ALICE laboratory system
  - $y_{cms} = -0.465$
- Comparison with models
  - Most models within 20%
  - Saturation models have too steep rise between p and Pb region
  - See for further comparisons Albacete et al., arXiv:1301.3395

NB: HIJING calculations are expected to increase by ~4% from INEL to NSD

#### ALICE, PRL 110 (2013) 032301



## Charged particle spectra in bins of $\eta$

- Primary charged tracks (3 η bins)
  - Reconstructed in ITS+TPC ( $|\eta| < 0.8$ )
  - Use  $\eta_{cms} = \eta_{lab} y_{cms}$ , then correct
  - Systematic uncertainty: 5.2-7.1%
  - NSD normalization: 3.1 %
- Hint for slightly softer spectrum at higher η (Pb side)?
- Reference constructed from pp (INEL) data at 2.76 and 7 TeV
  - Interpolation below 5 GeV/c, and above scaled by factor obtained from NLO calculation
    - Systematic uncertainty: 8%
    - Normalization uncertainty: 3.6%
  - $< T_{pPb} > = 0.0983 \pm 0.0035 \text{ mb}^{-1}$ from Glauber model



## Nuclear modification factor pPb vs PbPb 8

$$R_{AB} = \frac{\mathrm{d}N_{AB}/\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}}{\langle N_{\mathrm{coll}}\rangle \mathrm{d}N_{\mathrm{pp}}/\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}}$$

- $R_{pPb}$  (at mid-rapidity) consistent with unity for  $p_T > 2 \text{ GeV/c}$
- High-p<sub>T</sub> charged particles exhibit binary scaling
- Unlike in PbPb, no suppression at high  $p_{T}$  is observed
- Suppression at high p<sub>T</sub> in PbPb is not an initial state effect

#### ALICE, PRL 110 (2013) 082302



## Nuclear modification factor vs models

- Saturation (CGC) models:
  - Consistent with the data
  - Large uncertainties
- pQCD models with shadowing
  - Consistent with data
  - Tension at high  $p_T$  for LO+CNM model
- HIJING 2.1
  - With shadowing only matches at very low  $p_T$  (see also dN/d $\eta$ )
  - No shadowing better at high  $p_{T}$
- Spectrum itself interesting
  - Neither HIJING nor DPMJET do describe the pPb  $p_{T}$  spectrum itself

NB: HJING calculations are expected to increase by ~4% from INEL to NSD



## $J/\psi$ nuclear modification factor vs models 10

- R<sub>pPb</sub> decreases towards forward y
- Uncertainty dominated by uncertainty of pp reference
- No apparent rapidity dependence in backward region



Inclusive J/psi, ALICE preliminary

- Comparison with models
  - Good agreement with models incorporating shadowing (EPS09 NLO) and/or a contribution of coherent parton energy loss
  - CGC model (Fujii et al.) disfavored by the data
  - Rapidity dependence in backward region may provide additional constraints

### J/ψ forward-backward asymmetry



- Forward-to-backward ratio in common |y| ranges
  - Free of uncertainty from pp reference
- Models incorporating shadowing and energy loss consistent with data
  - $p_T$  dependence provides additional constraints for models

## **Di-Hadron Correlations (DHC)**

- CMS: pp, pPb at LHC
  - Long-range near-side correlations (ridge) appear at high-multiplicity
    - Collective effects in pp and pPb?
    - CGC initial state effects?



12

- STAR: dAu at RHIC
  - Back-to-back (jet-like) correlations in forward π<sup>0</sup> correlations disappear in high-multiplicity events
    - Compatible with CGC predictions
- LHC mid- and RHIC forward-η probe a similar x regime



#### STAR, arXiv:1005.2378

### DHC: Extraction of double ridge structure 13



- Extract double ridge structure using a standard technique in AA collisions, namely by subtracting the jet-like correlations
  - It has been verified that the 60-100% class is similar to pp
  - The near-side ridge is accompanied by an almost identical ridge structure on the away-side

# DHC: Ridge yields

#### Integrate two ridges above baseline on the

- Near side ( $|\Delta \phi| < \pi/2$ )
- Away side  $(\pi/2 < |\Delta \phi| < 3\pi/2)$
- Near and away-side ridge yields
  - Change significantly
  - Agree for all p<sub>T</sub> and multiplicity ranges
  - Increase with trigger  $p_{T}$  and multiplicity
  - Widths are approximately the same (not shown)
- The correlation between nearand away-side yields suggests a common underlying origin



## DHC: Ridge $v_2$ and $v_3$ and Hydro

- Obtain  $v_n = \sqrt{(a_n/b)}$  from  $a_0 + 2a_2\cos(2\Delta\phi) + 2a_3\cos(3\Delta\phi)$ fit where b is baseline in higher multiplicity class
  - $v_2$  increases strongly with  $p_T$ and mildly with multiplicity
  - $v_3$  increases with  $p_T$ within large uncertainties
  - The  $p_{\tau}$  dependences are in qualitative agreement with hydrodynamical predictions





ALICE, PLB 719 (2013) 29

## DHC: Ridge $v_2$ and $v_3$ and CGC

16



• However, a large  $v_3$  component may be a challenge for the model

### Identified particle $p_T$ spectra



## Average $p_T$ vs $dN_{ch}/d\eta$ in pPb

ALICE preliminary



- Average  $p_T$  increases with multiplicity in all VOA multiplicity classes
- Mass ordering: Larger mass also larger average  $p_{\scriptscriptstyle T}$
- Generators implementing incoherent superposition of nucleon collisions do not describe the data (not shown)

### Proton-to-pion ratio



- Ratio in 0-5% shows similar  $p_T$  dependence as observed in peripheral PbPb
  - Significant increase at intermediate  $p_T$  with increasing VOA multiplicity
  - Corresponding significant depletion in the low- $p_{\tau}$  region
- Dependence in PbPb usually explained by radial flow
  - Dependence in pPb qualitatively as expected by eg. Shuryak and Zahed, arXiv:1301.4470

## $\Lambda/K_{s}^{0}$ ratio versus $p_{T}$

- Clear evolution of A/K<sup>0</sup><sub>s</sub> ratio with increasing VOA multiplicity
- Also this is reminiscent of a similar trend observed in AA
- In AA this is generally explained by collective flow and parton recombination





## Summary & Outlook

- Measurements of unidentified  $dN/d\eta$  and  $dN/dp_T$  spectra
  - Various models describe data, but no single model describes all aspects
- Measurements of  $J/\psi$  spectra
  - Data can be described by model including shadowing plus energy loss
- Correlation analyses in pA started fundamental debate of initial and final state effects in high-multiplicity events
  - We may see aspects of both
- PID spectra at high multiplicity show trends also observed in peripheral PbPb and qualitatively consistent with radial flow
  - Similar trends also expected for high multiplicity pp events (Analysis of 7 TeV pp data ongoing)
- Further pPb measurements expected soon
  - Identified particle v2
  - HBT radii

#### Extra

# NSD pPb normalization

- Event selection
  - VZERO-A (2.8<η<5.1) and VZERO-C (-3.7<η<-1.7) incl. time cuts
  - Systematic variation using ZDC on nucleus side (ZNA)
- Resulting event sample
  - Non single-diffractive (NSD)
    - At least one binary N+N interaction is NSD (Glauber picture)
    - Inspired from DPMJET, which includes incoherent SD of the projectile with target nucleons that are mainly concentrated on the surface of the nucleus
    - SD about 4% from HIJING, DPMJET or standalone Glauber
  - Negligible contamination from SD and EM processes
- Validated with a cocktail of generators
  - DPMJET for NSD (2b)
  - PHOJET + Glauber for incoherent SD part (0.1b)
    - SD/INEL = 0.2 in pp at 7 TeV ( arXiv:1208.4968)
  - EM with STARLIGHT (0.1-0.2b)



#### Forward-backward asymmetry

Inclusive J/psi, ALICE preliminary p-Pb  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}, L_{\text{Forward y}} (L_{\text{Backward y}}) \approx 4.9 (5.5) \text{ nb}^{-1}$ Inclusive J/ $\psi \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ , 2.96 <  $|y_{cms}|$  < 3.53,  $p_{\tau}$  > 0 ALICE Preliminary EPS09 NLO (R. Vogt, arXiv:1301.3395 and priv. comm.) EPS09 LO, shadowing and EMC min./max. (J.P. Lansberg, priv. comm.) nDSG LO (J.P. Lansberg, priv. comm.) EPS09 NLO and ELoss with q\_=0.055 GeV<sup>2</sup>/fm (F. Arleo et al., arXiv:1212.0434 and priv. comm.) ELoss with q\_=0.075 GeV<sup>2</sup>/fm (F. Arleo et al., arXiv:1212.0434) 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.2 1.4 1.6  $R_{\mathsf{FB}}$ ALI-PREL-48386

- Forward-to-backward ratio in the range 2.96<|y|<3.53
  - R<sub>FB</sub> = 0.60 ± 0.01 (stat) ± 0.06 (syst)
  - Free of uncertainty from pp reference
- Pure saturation models seem to overestimate the ratio

## **DHC:** Multiplicity classes

- Correlation between geometry and multiplicity in pA is not as strong as in AA
  - System also shows features of biased pp (NN) collisions in the low and high multiplicity tails
- Define multiplicity classes
  - Use charge in VZERO to avoid correlation with tracks in barrel
  - V0M: sum of amplitudes from
    - VZERO-A (2.8<η<5.1)
    - VZERO-C (-3.7<η<-1.7)
- Systematic checks using
  - SPD (|η|<1.4)
  - ZNA (beam neutron on Pb side)



Event	V0M range	$\left<\mathrm{d}N_{\mathrm{ch}}/\mathrm{d}\eta\right> _{ \eta <0.5}$	$\langle N_{\rm trk} \rangle  _{ \eta  < 1.2}$
class	(a.u.)	$p_{\rm T} > 0 {\rm GeV}/c$	$p_{\rm T} > 0.5  {\rm GeV}/c$
60-100%	< 138	$6.6 \pm 0.2$	$6.4 \pm 0.2$
40-60%	138-216	$16.2 \pm 0.4$	$16.9\pm0.6$
20-40%	216-318	$23.7\pm0.5$	$26.1\pm0.9$
0-20%	> 318	$34.9\pm0.5$	$42.5\pm1.5$

#### ALICE, PLB 719 (2013) 29

## DHC: Multiplicity dependence

#### ALICE, PLB 719 (2013) 29

- Compare associated yield in pPb multiplicity classes and pp
  - Project to  $\Delta \phi$  over  $|\Delta \eta| < 1.8$
  - Subtract baseline at  $\Delta \phi \sim 1.3$
- Low multiplicity pPb is similar to pp (at 7 TeV)
- Yield rises on near and away side with increasing multiplicity
- In contrast with away-side suppression observed in dAu at RHIC at forward η (similar x)



### **DHC: Correlation measure**

#### ALICE, PLB 719 (2013) 29

 Associated yield per trigger particle (with p<sub>T</sub><sup>trig</sup>>p<sub>T</sub><sup>assoc</sup>)

 $\frac{1}{N_{\rm trig}}\frac{{\rm d}^2N_{\rm assoc}}{{\rm d}\Delta\eta\;{\rm d}\Delta\varphi}=\frac{S\left(\Delta\eta,\Delta\varphi\right)}{B\left(\Delta\eta,\Delta\varphi\right)}$ 

• Signal (same event) pair yield

$$S\left(\Delta\eta,\Delta\varphi\right) = \frac{1}{N_{\rm trig}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 N_{\rm same}}{\mathrm{d}\Delta\eta\,\mathrm{d}\Delta\varphi}$$

• Definition as ratio of sums is multiplicity independent

$$\frac{N_{pair}}{N_{trig}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{evt}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{source}} \frac{1}{2} n_{ij}(n_{ij}-1)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{evt}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{source}} n_{ij}}$$
$$= \frac{N_{evt} \langle N_{source} \rangle \frac{1}{2} \langle n(n-1) \rangle}{N_{evt} \langle N_{source} \rangle \langle n \rangle}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\langle n(n-1) \rangle}{\langle n \rangle}$$

Background (mixed event) pair yield

$$B\left(\Delta\eta,\Delta\varphi\right) = \frac{1}{B\left(0,0\right)} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 N_{\mathrm{mixed}}}{\mathrm{d}\Delta\eta\,\mathrm{d}\Delta\varphi}$$



ALI-PUB-46224

## **DHC:** Two ridges

#### ALICE, PLB 719 (2013) 29

- A residual jet peak at (0,0) remains even after subtraction of 60-100% from the 0-20% multiplicity class
- Compare effects using different event class definition

![](_page_27_Figure_5.jpeg)

## DHC: Selection bias on fragmentation (pp) 29

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

- By selecting on multiplicity, jet fragmentation is biased towards higher number of fragmenting products
- Competition between higher number of MPI and fragmentation

## DHC: Symmetric ridge

#### ALICE, PLB 719 (2013) 29

- What would the assumption of a symmetric ridge give?
  - Determine the near-side ridge in  $1.2 < |\Delta\eta| < 1.8$
  - Mirror to away-side and subtract

![](_page_29_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_6.jpeg)

No significant other multiplicity dependent structures left over

## K/ $\pi$ ratio versus $p_T$

31

pp 1/s = 7 TeV pp (s = 7 TeV pp (s = 7 TeV 0. ALICE, arxiv:1303.0737 ੈਂਦ 0. ŧ p-Pb (60-80%) p-Pb (40-60%) p-Pb (20-40%) ALICE  $\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}_{0}^{0}$ ) 0. (Y 0. + ∑ 0. ∑ 0. + pp vs = 7 TeV op √s = 7 TeV 0. 0. £ 0.8 ٥ ځ <u>ل</u>ے 0. Ł ALICE Ph-Ph (80-90% Ph-Ph (70-80%  $\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}_{0,0}^{0,1}$  $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{0}^{0}$  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\varsigma}}_{0}^{0}$ 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.2 V0A multiplicity 0 0 p-Pb Vs\_N = 5.02 TeV 0<sub>ò</sub> 0 2.5 3 3.5 1.5 2 2.5 0.5 **pPb**<sup>*p*<sub>T</sub> (GeV/*c*)</sup> 0.5 2.5 0.5 3 15 2 1.5 2 3 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 15 2 25 3 35 0.5 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 *р*<sub>т</sub> (GeV/*c*) p<sub>+</sub> (GeV/c) Pb-Pb p<sub>\_</sub> (GeV/c) p\_ (GeV/c) pp 1/s = 7 Te\ pp (s = 7 TeV pp **\s** = 7 TeV pp vs = 7 TeV £ 0.8 te 0 <u>ب</u>ל 0.8 + 0.9 Pb-Pb (50-60%) Pb-Pb (30-40%) Pb-Pb (0-5%)  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_{0,0}^{0,1}$  $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{G}}_{0,0}^{0.7}$  $\tilde{\varsigma}_{0}^{0}$ ંદ્વ 0. ું દુ ંદ્વ 0. p-Pb (5-10%) p-Pb (0-5%) p-Pb (10-20%) -0  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{0}$  $\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}_{0}$  $\Sigma_0^0$ + + 0.5 1.5 2.5 0.5 0. 0.3 p\_ (GeV/c) p\_ (GeV/c) p\_ (GeV/c) 0.2 0.2 0<sup>E</sup> **و** 0 1.5 2.5 1.5 2.5 1.5 2.5 0.5 1 2 3 0.5 1 2 3 3.5 0.5 1 2 3 p<sub>\_</sub> (GeV/*c*) *p*\_ (GeV/*c*) p\_ (GeV/c)

ALICE preliminary

Systematic errors are largely correlated across multiplicity

- weak evolution with multiplicity in p-Pb
- $\rightarrow$  small increase at intermediate  $p_{_{\rm T}}$  with increasing V0A multiplicity
- $\rightarrow$  corresponding small depletion in the low-p\_ region
- hints at similar behavior as observed in Pb-Pb collisions

### $p/\pi$ ratio versus $p_T$

pp (s = 7 TeV pp (s = 7 TeV pp (s = 7 TeV ALICE, arxiv:1303.0737 'ਸ 0 p-Pb (60-80%) p-Pb (40-60%) p-Pb (20-40%) ALICE ∕(**id**+0. ) d â pp \s = 7 TeV <u>a</u> 0. <u>0</u>0 <u>0</u>0 ±0.8 ±.( ±\_0. ALICE Ph-Ph (80-90% > 0.1 □ 0.1 <sup>0</sup>.
 <sup>0</sup> ñ 0 0.3 <u>a</u>0 0.0 0.3 0.2 V0A multiplicity 0 0 p-Pb Vs.... = 5.02 TeV 00 0 Pb-Pb vs<sub>NN</sub> = 2.76 TeV 0.5 1.5 2 2.5 0.5 2.5 0.5 pPb<sup>p</sup>(GeV/c) 2 3 15 2 25 3 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 15 2 25 3 35 0.5 1 15 2 25 3 p\_ (GeV/c) p\_ (GeV/c) Pb-Pb p\_ (GeV/c) p\_ (GeV/c) pp (s = 7 TeV pp **\s** = 7 TeV pp **\**s = 7 TeV \_\_\_\_0.8 \_\_\_\_\_0.7 i.0 ع <sup>+</sup>в 0. - Pb-Pb (0-5%) Pb-Pb (50-60% Ø p-Pb (5-10%) p-Pb (0-5%) p-Pb (10-20%) **D**O Ô **D** 0.5 0.5 p\_ (GeV/c) p\_ (GeV/c) p\_ (GeV/c) 0.3 0 : 0**Ľ** 2.5 1.5 0 1.5 2.5 0.5 1.5 2 3 0.5 1 2 2.5 3 0.5 2 3 1 1 *p*\_ (GeV/*c*) p\_ (GeV/c) p\_ (GeV/c)

Systematic errors are largely correlated across multiplicity

- shows similar behavior as observed in Pb-Pb collisions
- $\rightarrow$  significant increase at intermediate  $p_{\tau}$  with increasing VOA multiplicity
- $\rightarrow$  corresponding significant depletion in the low- $p_{_{\rm T}}$  region
- $\rightarrow$  stronger enhancement than K/ $\!\pi$
- Pb-Pb generally understood in terms of collective flow and/or recombination

**ALICE** preliminary

## $\Lambda/K_{s}^{0}$ ratio versus $p_{T}$

33

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

**ALICE** preliminary

Systematic errors are largely correlated across multiplicity

- clear evolution with multiplicity in pPb
- $\rightarrow$  significant increase at intermediate  $\textbf{p}_{_{T}}$  with increasing V0A multiplicity
- $\rightarrow$  corresponding significant depletion in the low-p\_{\_{T}} region
- also this is <u>reminiscent of nucleus-nucleus phenomenology</u>...
  ...generally understood in terms of collective flow and/or recombination

### Spectra shape analysis: pPb

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Global Blast-Wave fit parameters**

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

7 TeV pp data being worked on

#### **Global Blast-Wave fit parameters**

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

▷ p-Pb presents similar features as observed in Pb-Pb → parameters evolve with increasing multiplicity: larger ⟨β<sub>T</sub>⟩, smaller T<sub>fo</sub> → T<sub>fo</sub> is similar to Pb-Pb for similar multiplicity, ⟨β<sub>T</sub>⟩ is larger in p-Pb

> same results when including also  $\Lambda$  and  $K_{s}^{0}$  in the p-Pb global fit